#### 1984 BALLOT QUESTION COMMITTEES

The following represents all expenditures made by Ballot Question Committees for the 1984 election.

The figures shown represent only those expenditures made from the committee to an outside source (printer, advertising agency, etc.) and do not include those made from one committee to another.

Inclusion of the latter amounts would unrealistically inflate the totals.

On the 1984 General Election ballot were 3 proposals; A, B & C. The specific language of these proposals was as follows:

The following is the official ballot wording:

PROPOSAL A
A PROPOSAL TO ALLOW THE LEGISLATURE TO APPROVE OR DISAPPROVE ADMINISTRATIVE
The proposed amendment would:
Provide for the legislature or a joint committee of the legislature to approve or disapprove administrative rules written by state agencies to implement state law before the rules may be formally adopted by the agency as law, in a manner now or at a later date provided by law.
Should the proposed amendment be adopt 1?
YES [
ио 🗌

# PROPOSAL B

A PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH A NATURAL RESOURCES TRUST FUND AND A BOARD TO ADMINISTER IT, TO PROVIDE REVENUES FOR IT FROM NATURAL RESOURCE LEASES, AND EXISTING FUNDS AND TO SPECIFY AND LIMIT THE EXPENDITURES THEREFROM

The proposed amendment would:

- Establish a Michigan Natural Resources Trust Fund to be funded by certain royalties, bonuses and rentals collected by the state from the drilling of oil and gas or mining of minerals on state-owned land.
- 2. Require that earnings from the Trust Fund be used only for:
  - a. purchasing land or rights in land:
    - (1) for public recreation;
    - (2) of environmental importance; or
    - (3) of particular scenic beauty;
  - b. developing public recreation facilities;
  - c. administering the Natural Resources Trust Fund.
- 3. Require creation of a Natural Resources Trust Fund Board to recommend projects to be approved by the legislature.

Should the proposed amendment be adopted?

YES	
NO	

The following is the official ballot wording:

# PROPOSAL C

A PROPOSAL TO AMEND ARTICLE 9, SECTIONS 1 AND 2 OF THE CONSTITUTION RELATING TO TAXES, OTHER REVENUES AND VOTER OR LEGISLATIVE APPROVAL OF SAME

The proposed amendment would:

- 1. Prohibit changes in the base or rate of any state or local tax which would increase its revenue yield, or adoption of new taxes, unless approved by voters.
- 2. Make void 90 days after the adoption of amendment:
  - a. new or increased rate or base of state or local taxes since 12/31/81 unless/until approved by voters;
  - b. new or increased license, user or permit fees since 12/31/81 unless until approved by voters or 4/5 vote of legislative body adopting same.
- 3. Limit nonresident local political subdivision income tax to 1/2 of 1%.
- 4. Require tax proposals to state purpose, total anticipated amount and expiration date.

Should the amendment be adopted?

YES	
NO	

## PROPOSAL A: ALLOW LEGISLATURE TO APPROVE/DISAPPROVE ADMINISTRATIVE RULES

#### Support:

502202-5	Citizens for Proposal A	\$106,199
502544-0	Comcast Cablevision for Proposal A	23,425
502543-2	Continental Cablevision for Proposal A	913
502538-2	Group W Cable for Proposal A	615
	TOTAL	\$131 <b>,</b> 152

#### Reporting Waiver Committees in Support:

502549-9	Cable Vision for Proposal A
502539-0	North Ottawa Cable for Proposal A
502545-7	Tribune - United for Proposal A

#### Oppose:

None

## PROPOSAL B: NATURAL RESOURCES TRUST FUND

^					
Su	n:	nΛ	r	T	٠
Ju	Ρ	μυ	,	٠	٠

502266-0	Recreation Land Trust Committee		\$ 33,015
		TOTAL	\$ 33,015
Oppose:			
502486-4	Concerned Citizens for the Wise Use		
502434-4	of Your Resources Political Education and Action Coop	erative	\$ 10,587 296
		TOTAL	\$ 10,883

#### PROPOSAL C: VOTERS CHOICE

Support:		
502250-4 502569-7 502573-9 501523-5 502277-7	American Tax Reduction Movement Gannett Ballot Question Committee (B.Q.C.) Rich B.Q.C. Voter's Choice Voter's Choice - Proposal C	\$ 21,586 23,175 1,549 33,540 168,902
	TOTAL	\$248,752
Oppose:		
502474-0 502515-0 502572-1 502321-3 502361-9 502327-0 502567-1 502309-8 502385-8 502329-6 502542-4 502332-0 502541-6 502593-7 502537-4 002606-2 502362-7 502317-1 501840-3 502263-7 502276-9 002640-1 502434-4 502383-3 502070-6 502510-1 502330-4 501595-3	Alma Proposal "C" Committee Berkley Area PTA Council Central B.Q.C. Citizens Against Proposal C Citizens Against Proposal C Citizens Against Proposal C Citizens for Education Citizens for Responsible Government Citizens Providing Information on Proposal C Coalition Against Proposal C (17-B CC) Comcast Cablevision Against Proposal C Committee Against Proposal C - 17-A Coordinating Council Continental Cablevision Against Proposal C Dingeman B.Q.C. Group W Cable Against Proposal C Jackson Co. Education Association Ballot Issues Committee Kent Voters United to Promote Michigan MASB B.Q.C. Michigan Education Association Michigan Retailers Association B.Q.C. Michigan Road Builders Association B.Q.C. New Detroit Ballot Issues Committee Political Education and Action Cooperative Promote Detroit-Vote No on C Committee Promote Michigan Responsible Citizens for Education Transportation Survival Group 15-B School Employees B.Q.C.	\$ 91 786 1,392 621 1,581 1,325 816 2,094 1,338 8,647 8,500 2,380 1,684 5,630 1,380 3,417 7,363 681 332,015 4,132 225 2,565 296 19,992 1,236,178 620 7,500 360
	TOTAL	\$1,653,609

## PROPOSAL C: VOTERS CHOICE (cont.)

#### Reporting Waiver Committees in Opposition:

AFSCME Local 1061 B.Q.C.
Ann Arbor Cablevision Against Proposal C
Bark River - Harris Coalition Against Proposal C
Cable Vision Against Proposal C
Childrens Aid Society B.Q.C.
Friends of Education
Genesee County Taxpayers Against Proposal C
Meijer B.Q.C.
Menominee Coalition Against Proposal C
Metrovision Against Proposal C
North Dickinson Coalition Against Proposal C
North Ottawa Cable Against Proposal C
Norway-Vulcan Coalition Against Proposal C
Rapid River Coalition Against Proposal C
Rock River - Limestone Education Association Committee Against Proposal C
Stephenson Coalition Against Proposal C
Tribune-United Against Proposal C